Role of paraclinical assessment in management of massive pulmonary embolism: A case report
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Abstract
Despite all the diagnosis and treatment processes of pulmonary thromboembolism (PTE), it is still associated with a high rate of mortality. We describe a massive PTE case of a 73-year-old woman with unusual clinical manifestations.

Key Words: Pulmonary Embolism; Paraclinic; Management

Introduction
Pulmonary emboli (PE) is a life-threatening disease with an estimated 600,000 episodes and 100,000-200,000 deaths per year in the U.S. There are numerous deaths caused by undiagnosed massive pulmonary embolism [1] complicated by an approximately 30% mortality rate of untreated PE [1, 2]. Although there is a high prevalence of PE, it is still hard to diagnose and has a wide range of clinical manifestations [2, 3]. Massive pulmonary emboli (PE) has been defined as a PE associated with systolic blood pressure (<90 mmHg or a drop in systolic blood pressure of ≥ 40mmHg from baseline for a period >15 min) and cardiogenic shock (tissue hypoperfusion and hypoxia [1].

Cases
A 73-year-old woman with right leg swelling, pain, and slightly shortness of breath was admitted to our hospital with suspicion of deep vein thrombosis (DVT). There was no significant evidence of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, cerebrovascular accident, or cardiovascular risk factors in the patient's past medical history. Vital signs were stable on physical examination (blood pressure=100/60, heart rate=64/min, respiratory rate=16/min, O2 saturation=93%). Lung auscultation was normal. In comparison with the left lower extremity, the right lower extremity had pain, edema and some discoloration up to the thigh. A venous Doppler examination suggested right femoral venous thrombosis which was extended to common iliac vein; thereby, anticoagulant therapy started. Chest-x-ray was normal. ECG revealed Q wave in lead III and aVF lead, and inverted T wave in lead V1-V6 (Fig. 1). To rule out PTE (considering slightly shortness of breath), echocardiography was performed, which confirmed RV dilatation and severe dysfunction. Pulmonary CT angiography showed a clot resulting in complete blockage of the left pulmonary artery (LPA) and partial obstruction of the right pulmonary artery (RPA) (Fig. 2).
The patient underwent pulmonary embolectomy after replacement of IVC filter (Fig. 3). She was discharged from hospital 10 days after the operation in a good general condition and she is alive at 2-year follow-up.

Discussion

PE has a wide range of clinical manifestations. In this case, we described a patient who had massive PTE according to paraclinical findings, though her vital signs were stable and there was no typical clinical manifestation.
A study done in 2007 by Aklog et al showed within a 10-month follow-up that 89% of the patients survived after surgical embolectomy treatment. In the authors' opinion, the reason for the high survival rate was liberalized criteria of acute pulmonary embolism, including anatomically extensive pulmonary embolism and concomitant moderate to severe right ventricular dysfunction despite preserved systemic arterial pressure [4].

There was no clinical signs in our case to be a candidate for embolectomy. However, because of the high pulmonary vascular bed involvement shown in CT scan and the right ventricle failure (> 50%), the patient became a candidate for surgical embolectomy.

In Goldhaber’s study, it is claimed that the main cause of mortality among most patients undergoing surgery for pulmonary embolism is cardiogenic shock and multiple organ failure resulting in high mortality rate (around 50%) in surgical pulmonary embolectomy [5].

In Wood KE’s study, PE mortality rate depends strictly on hemodynamic compromise, which ranges from less than 3% in normotensive patients without evidence of right ventricular dysfunction (RVD) to up to 30% in patients with shock and up to 20% in patients with cardiac arrest at presentation [6,7].

Conclusions

It seems that revision of diagnostic and therapeutic criteria for PE as well as collaboration between the cardiologist and the cardiac surgeon to determine candidature of patients for surgical pulmonary embolectomy can decrease mortality and morbidity of the patients. The difference between patients’ mortality in different studies highlights the need for revision in criteria of massive PE and the surgical plan.
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